

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/697,960	10/29/2003	Brian Mann	SAVCOR.1C2P1C1	7617	
20995	7590 03/17/2005		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE M. 2040 MAIN S	ARTENS OLSON & E	LAYNO, CARL	LAYNO, CARL HERNANDZ		
FOURTEENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
IRVINE, CA	92614		3762		
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					me				
		Applic	cation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/69	7,960	MANN ET AL.	•				
		Exami	iner 7	Art Unit					
		Carl H	Layno 3/15/0	3762					
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	ss				
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	R REPLY IS SE	T TO EXPIRE 3 N	MONTH(S) FROM					
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provisions of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30). O period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply we reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In n nication. days, a reply within the atory period will apply ar ill, by statute, cause the	o event, however, may a estatutory minimum of thind and will expire SIX (6) MOI expenses application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commi BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 29 October 2	2003.						
2a)□	_ ` ` _								
3)									
٠,ڪ	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposi	tion of Claims			•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-99 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5,7-71 and 82-99</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7)⊠	Claim(s) 75-81 is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applica	tion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)🗵	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 October 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the the Internation the the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have l ocuments have l f the priority docu al Bureau (PCT	been received. been received in A uments have beer Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Sta	nge				
		ioi a list of tile C	Cruned copies noi	r received.					
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intention	Summary (PTO-413)					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/23/04</u> .		5)	Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				

Application/Control Number: 10/697,960

Art Unit: 3762

Page 2

Priority

DETAILED ACTION

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for priority as a Continuation of U.S Application Serial No. 10/698,031, filed October 29, 2003, which is a Continuation-In-Part of U.S Application Serial No. 10/127,227, filed April 19, 2002, which is a Continuation of U.S Application Serial No. 09/956,596, filed on September 19, 2001, now Abandoned, which is a Continuation of U.S Application Serial No.09/481,084, filed on January 11, 2000, now U.S Patent 6,328,699. The current application also claims benefit of U.S Provisional Application 60/470,468, filed on May 13, 2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's Information Disclosure Statement (PTO-1449), which was received by the Office on April 23, 2004.

Drawings

3. Applicant's drawings appear to be informal (see PTO-948 for Draftsperson's comments) and are acceptable for Examination purposes only.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,960 Page 3

Art Unit: 3762

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Specifically, in line 2 of claim 6, the words "further comprises further comprises" is redundant and confusing. To overcome this indefiniteness, the Examiner recommends omitting one set of the words "further comprises".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 72-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salo '283 in view of Stone et al '607.

The Salo '283 patent describes a method and apparatus for pacing patients with severe congestive heart failure (CHF) in which the pacer 10 (Fig.2) includes both ECG sensing circuits 22,24 and a pressure sensor 41. In use, the aortic pressure signals monitored by the sensor 41 of Salo is used to derive an optimum AV interval delay value (Abstract) for the pacer, which would inherently affect the pacer's ventricular stimulation timing, since it operates in VDD mode (col.1, line 42). Unlike applicant's device, however, that of Salo does not communicate its sensed pressure data to an external device for processing.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,960 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

The Stone et al '607 patent also describes an implantable pacemaker (Fig.2), which communicates monitored parameters including AV intervals to an external programmer having a display screen (Fig.7) for showing this parameter to a physician or user.

Lacking any criticality, to have specified the programmer of Stone et al '607 for use with the implantable pacemaker of Salo '283 would have been an obvious substitution to one of ordinary skill since both devices process AV interval information derived from pressure sensors (see col.2, lines 3-7 of Stone '607) with Stone et al providing a display screen to view it.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 75-81 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. Claims 1-5, 7-71, and 82-99 are allowed.
- 10. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The Examiner could not find any reference(s) that taught applicant's claimed steps involving the sensing of left atrial fluid pressures, the generation of an output indicating a treatment, and the providing of at least two distinguishable treatment signals to the patient (independent claims 1, 68, 71, 82). In addition, the Examiner could not find the claimed combination of "generating a sensor signal indicative of fluid pressure within a left atrium", "transmitting said sensor signal... to an external telemetry device", and "communicating said

Art Unit: 3762

treatment signal...to said medical patient" (claim 69). Lastly, the Examiner could not find the claimed method steps involving "determining fluid pressure within the left atrium" by "obtaining the atmospheric pressure" as stipulated in claim 70. Consequently, the Examiner deems these claims and their depending claims to be allowable.

11. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The Cohen '752 patent is cited for its pertinent disclosure of an implantable medical device having a left atrium pressure sensor **20** (Fig.2E). Unlike applicant's device, that of Cohen fails to disclose the use of "two treatment signals".

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4949. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Thursday from 9 AM to 6 PM and every other Friday between 9AM and 5PM. A voice mail or E-mail message (carl.layno@uspto.gov) may be left if desired.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,960

Art Unit: 3762

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes, can be reached on (571) 272-4955. All Faxed correspondence should be sent to the Office's official FAX number (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE) Brenda Webb whose telephone number is (571) 272-4399.

CARL LAYNO
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CHL

3/15/2005